

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/826,134	04/04/2001	David K. Vavro	INTL-0546-US (P11105)	INTL-0546-US (P11105) 2324	
7	590 02/07/2005	EXAMINER			
Timothy N. T	-	MEONSKE, TONIA L			
TROP, PRUNI	ER & HU, P.C.				
8554 KATY F	WY, STE 100	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
HOUSTON, T	X 77024-1805	2183			
			DATE MAILED: 02/07/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Application N	lo.	Applicant(s)				
		09/826,134		VAVRO, DAVID K.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Tonia L Meons	ske	2183				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica	ation appears on the co	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, unsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statution returned to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, hication. days, a reply within the statutory orry period will apply and will exp I, by statute, cause the application.	owever, may a reply be tim minimum of thirty (30) days ire SIX (6) MONTHS from in to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
1)[\text{}	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 15 November 2004						
·	· ·) This action is non-f						
3)□				secution as to the	e merits is			
٠,١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
-		olication						
4)[✓ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 							
حا⊏	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Withdrawn from Consid	eration.					
•	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.							
7) 口	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
,—	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requi	rement					
·	-	m anazor election requi	Tomone.					
	ion Papers	_						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)∟	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
44)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to b	y tne ⊨xaminer. Note t	ne attached Office	Action or form P	IO-152.			
_	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action to	ocuments have been re ocuments have been re the priority documents all Bureau (PCT Rule 17	ceived. ceived in Application have been receive 7.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Au- 1	W-3							
Attachmen 1) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	٨٢	☐ Interview Summary	(PTO_413)				
	e of References Cited (P10-692) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC	4) L 0-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT r No(s)/Mail Date		Notice of Informal P Other:	atent Application (PT0	D-152)			

Art Unit: 2183

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Hennessy, et al., *Computer Architecture a Quantitative Approach*, Morgan Kaufman Publishers Inc., Second Edition, pages 246-251 (hereinafter "Hennessy").
- 3. The rejections are respectfully maintained and incorporated by reference as set forth in the last office action, mailed on September 16, 2004.

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed November 15, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 5. On page 3, Applicant argues with respect to claim 1 and similarly with respect to claim 18 in essence:

"All of the claims were rejected over a couple of pages out of a computer architecture book. The material cited is so vague and incomplete that there is no basis whatsoever to conclude that the so-called functional units constitute separate processors. Therefore, a prima facie rejection is not made out, since there is no showing that a register is accessible by a plurality of processors.

There is no reason to believe that the functional units are separate processors. Moreover, there is no indicating whether data in said register is available for a given processor. To show this element, five pages are cited in Figure 4.4. But Figure 4.4 shows nothing of the sort and the five pages have nothing informative."

Art Unit: 2183

However, a processor is merely something that operates on data. A functional unit operates on, or processes, data. Therefore a functional unit is a processor. So Hennessey has in fact taught a plurality of processors, i.e. Integer, Mult1, Mult2, Add, Divide (See Figure 4.4). Furthermore, each register i.e. F0-F30 in Figure 4.4, is inherently accessible by all of the functional units. It is clear from Figure 4.4 that register F2 is accessible to at least the Integer, Mult1, and Add functional units. Therefore, Hennessy has in fact taught that a register, F2, is accessible by a plurality of processors, Integer, Mult1, and Add functional units. Therefore this argument is moot.

Page 3

6. On page 3, Applicant argues with respect to claim 1 and similarly with respect to claim 18 in essence:

"There is no indicating whether data in said register is available for a given processor. To show this element, five pages are cited in Figure 4.4. But Figure 4.4 shows nothing of the sort and the five pages have nothing informative."

However, Hennessy has taught whether data in a register is available for a given processor. Referring to Figure 4.4, the second LD instruction is to write a result to register F2. As soon as the result is written into F2, the result is available to other instructions and functional units using F2 as a source operand. In this instance the result has not yet been written into F2, and as such the result is not yet available for the Mult1 functional unit. Therefore, Hennessy has in fact taught indicating whether data in said register, F2, is available for a given processor, Mult1 (Figure 4.4 indicates that data in F2 is not yet available for processor Mult1.). Therefore this argument is moot.

7. On page 3, Applicant argues in essence:

"There is no structure shown and, therefore, it cannot be determined that a register is coupled to said processing elements."

Art Unit: 2183

However, in Hennessy the functional units are the processing elements, i.e. Integer, Mult1, Mult2, Add, and Divide. In Figure 4.4, F2 is: 1) a destination register for a result from the Integer functional unit, 2) a source register for the Mult1 functional unit, and 3) a source register for the Add functional unit. In order for the functional units to receive source data from F2 and/or output destination data to F2, F2 must inherently be coupled, either directly or indirectly, to the functional units. Therefore this argument is moot.

- 8. On page 3, Applicant argues in essence:
 - "Moreover, there is no indication in the material cited that the register includes a plurality of general purpose registers."

Applicant is directed to Figure 4.4 of Hennessy. F0-F30 are the claimed general purpose registers. Therefore this argument is moot.

Conclusion

- 9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 10. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2183

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tonia L Meonske whose telephone number is (571) 272-4170. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8-4:30.

- 12. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie P Chan can be reached on (571) 272-4162. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.
- 13. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

tlm

EDDIE CHAN
PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5

l